



Effect of Preoperative Embolization on the Intraoperative Bleeding during Posterior Stabilization in Thoracolumbal Fracture Cases at Prof. Dr. R. D Kandou Hospital Manado

Nathaniel E. Pali,¹ Tommy Suharso,² Richard Sumangkut,³ Billy Karundeng,³ Djony E. Tjandra,³ Yuansun Khosama,³ Windy M. V. Wariki⁴

¹Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Manado, Indonesia

²Division of Orthopedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Manado, Indonesia

³Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Manado, Indonesia

⁴Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Manado, Indonesia

Email: nathanielpali013@student.unsrat.ac.id

Received: July 11, 2022; Accepted: December 31, 2022; Published online: January 2, 2023

Abstract: Embolization is a technique to stop or prevent bleeding by inserting an object, such as an air-filled membrane (balloon), or an embolic material such as foam into a blood vessel to block the blood flow. This study aimed to prove that preoperative embolization might affect and reduce the amount of intraoperative bleeding during the posterior stabilization procedure for thoracolumbal vertebrae fracture. A quasi-experimental method was chosen for this study, and samples were not taken at random. Samples were patients with thoracolumbal vertebrae fracture who underwent the posterior stabilization procedure. Samples were divided into two groups, treated with and without preoperative embolization groups. The treatment was given 24-48 hours before posterior stabilization procedure. The intraoperative bleeding was measured in milliliters. The results showed that the average intraoperative blood loss was 283.3 mL, meanwhile of the untreated group was 583.3 mL. The one-way t-test resulted in a p-value of 0.004 at 5% of significance. In conclusion, preoperative embolization could reduce the amount of blood loss during intra-operative posterior stabilization in patients with thoracolumbar vertebral fractures. Further research on preoperative embolization in patient who will undergo posterior stabilization surgery of the thoracolumbal region due to fracture, either mechanically or generatively, is needed.

Keywords: preoperative embolization; intraoperative blood loss

INTRODUCTION

Embolization is an effective way to control bleeding and limiting supply bleeding for mass tumors. Embolization could be beneficial as a definitive treatment or as an adjuvant management for the next surgery. Arteriovenous fistula (AVF) or pseudoaneurysm embolization with preservation of main arteries, as well as embolization preoperative tumor is the main purpose to do preoperative embolization. For the trauma itself, the operation was done once in the cervical area and then to correct scoliosis there were two steps (two days). On the first day they did the first step of correction with intraoperative embolization and on the second day they continued to second step of scoliosis correction where intraoperative bleeding at the second day was less compared to of the first day. Preoperative embolization before posterior stabilization in the thoracolumbal vertebrae has never been done in Indonesia, so far.¹⁻²⁹

A permanent embolization material, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), works like fluid and enters the blood vessels. This PVA will harden and give permanent occlusion in the blood vessel stream. Particles of PVA cause permanent occlusion of blood vessels with sticking to the walls of the vessels resulting in generalized blood obstruction, inflammatory reaction and focal angioneclerosis with the formation of fibrosis in the blood vessels. The PVA particles vary from 100 microns up to 1100 microns. The disadvantages of PVA itself i.e. PVA can degraded to the proximal area of embolization target, therefore, there is a small possibility to cause various complications like post embolization syndrome and Brown-Sequard syndrome.²⁹⁻³⁴

One of the complications of posterior stabilization is intraoperative bleeding. The intraoperative bleeding must be treated or controlled as soon as possible for a better postoperative outcome. Therefore, the aim of this study is to evaluate whether preoperative embolization could reduce intraoperative bleeding during the posterior stabilization procedure in thoracolumbal vertebrae fracture in order to minimize the risk of operation. This problem will be our challenge in the modern surgery to try new intervention or modern technology for a better outcome.

METHODS

This was a quasi-experimental study that compared two groups. Group one was treated with preoperative embolization 24-48 hours prior to the posterior stabilization procedure and group two was untreated with embolization. We compared the amount of intraoperative bleeding of the two groups. The intraoperative bleeding was measured in milliliters. In this study we choose consecutively instead of random the group due to intervention group just have 3 samples. In the other group we have 15 samples that we randomized using simple random sampling. The data were analyzed with one-way t test. This research was done when the researcher got permission and ethical clearance from Health Research Ethics Committee RSUP Prof. Dr. R. D. Kandou Manado.

RESULTS

There were 18 patients who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and participated in this study. Samples were collected from January 2021 to May 2022. Of 18 patients there were 11 males (57%) and seven females (43%). Three of the 18 patients were treated with pre-operative embolization and were chosen by using the consecutive sampling technique meanwhile the other 15 patients untreated with pre-operative embolization were sampled by using simple random sampling technique. Based on the age distribution, the mean age of samples was 52 years, ranging from 25 years to 74 years.

The mean intraoperative bleeding was 583.3 mL in patients untreated with embolization, and 283.3 mL in patients treated with preoperative embolization. The most amount of bleeding in untreated patients was 750 mL, while in the treated patients was 600 mL. The least amount of intraoperative bleeding in untreated patients was 400 mL while in the treated patients was 200 mL.

The effect of preoperative embolization on the intraoperative bleeding in patients with thoracolumbar posterior stabilization was analyzed using the one-way T test resulting in a p-value of 0.004 at 5% of significance. This showed that preoperative embolization could affect the

amount of intraoperative bleeding.

The p-value of this study was less than 0.05 (at a significance of 0.05) which meant that the hypothesis H1 was accepted. So, it could be concluded that preoperative embolization could be related to the amount of intraoperative bleeding in the posterior stabilization procedure for thoracolumbal vertebrae fracture.

DISCUSSION

The first patient underwent the posterior stabilization procedure of the 4-7th thoracal and 4-5th lumbar vertebrae. The preoperative embolization was performed to block the 2nd of right posterior radicular artery with PVA of 250-355 micron using a micro catheter with a diameter of 1 fr up to 2.5 fr. After the embolization, the intraoperative bleeding during posterior stabilization procedure was 200 mL. The vascularization for the vertebrae is derived from the radicular arteries surrounding the vertebral region posteriorly around the circular arterial lamina which are also connected to the posterior spinal artery that connects the vascularization of posterior area vertically. Therefore, although the posterior radicular arteries were blocked selectively, the vertebrae could still get vascularized from the posterior spinal artery.^{1-9,35-49}

In the second patient, we performed the posterior stabilization procedure of the 4-5th lumbar vertebrae. The preoperative embolization was performed to block the 2nd of right posterior radicular artery with PVA of 250-355 micron using a micro catheter with a diameter of 1 fr up to 2.5 fr. After embolization, the intraoperative bleeding during posterior stabilization procedure was 200 mL. In this patient likewise, the bleeding could be reduced because the posterior radicular arteries were blocked, while vascularization from the posterior spinal artery still continued.^{1-9,35}

Compared with the previous posterior stabilization procedure, the amount of intraoperative bleeding in a similar area like the two samples is reduced. This is supported by Tuchman et al³⁵ that during the 2nd step of operation (24 hours after embolization) the amount of intraoperative bleeding was less than the first step. The 2nd patient got muscle weakness after the posterior stabilization procedure but it was getting better with practice in a period time of one week. The cause of post embolization temporary muscle weakness is not clear yet. The possibility is the existence of the embolan escaped to a higher level, therefore, it reduced the vascularization to the spinal cord resulting in temporary muscle weakness, or the effects of trauma when the posterior stabilization procedure was performed.^{1-9,34,35,39-49}

As for the third patient, we performed the posterior stabilization procedure of the 7th thoracal vertebra. The preoperative embolization was performed to block the right posterior radicular artery of the 5th thoracic vertebra and the left posterior radicular artery of the 9th thoracal vertebra using PVA of 250-355 micron in diameter and a micro catheter of 3.0 fr and distal of 2.4 fr (150 cm x 30 cm). The amount of intraoperation bleeding was 450 mL, and there was no complication found after the procedure.

CONCLUSION

Preoperative embolization could reduce significantly the amount of intraoperative bleeding during posterior thoracolumbar vertebral stabilization.

Further studies with greater sample size are needed to achieve better knowledge and expertise in performing preoperative embolization, especially in the spinal area.

Conflict of Interest

The authors affirm no conflict of interest in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Rilling WS, Chen GW. Preoperative embolization. *World J Radiology*. 2016;8(4):378–89. Doi: 10.4329/wjr.v8.i4.378.
2. Clausen C, Dahl B, Frevert SC, Hansen LV, Nielsen MB, Lönn L. Preoperative embolization in surgical

- treatment of spinal metastases: single-blind, randomized controlled clinical trial of efficacy in decreasing intraoperative blood loss. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2015;26(3):402-12.e1.
3. Tan BWL, Zaw AS, Rajendran PC, Ruiz JN, Kumar N, Anil G. Preoperative embolization in spinal tumor surgery: enhancing its effectiveness. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2017;43:108–14.
 4. Robial N, Charles YP, Bogorin I, Godet J, Beaujeux R, Boujan F, et al. Is preoperative embolization a prerequisite for spinal metastases surgical management? *Orthopedics and Traumatology: Surgery and Research (OTSR).* 2012;98(5):536–42.
 5. Greenhalgh RM. *Vascular and Endovascular Consensus Update.* London: BIBA Publishing; 2020. Available from: www.bibamedical.com
 6. American College of Surgeons. Committee on Trauma. *Advanced Trauma Life Support: Student Course Manual (10th ed).* American College of Surgeons; 2018. p. 68–78. Available from: <https://www.emergencymedicinenkenya.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/ATLS-10th-Edition.pdf>
 7. Swannjo JP, Suyasa IK. Profil penderita dengan cedera tulang belakang akibat trauma di RSUP Sanglah tahun 2015. *Intisari Sains Medis.* 2020;11(1):410-5. [cited 2021 Jul 16]. Available from: <http://isainsmedis.id/>
 8. Rajasekaran S, Kanna RM, Barbagallo G, AO Spine International (Firm). *Spinal infections.* 2018.
 9. Netter FH (Frank H, Jones HR, Machado CAG, Burns TM. *The Netter collection of medical illustrations. Volume 7, Nervous system. Part II, Spinal cord and peripheral motor and sensory systems.* 2013. p. 290.
 10. Zdeblick TA, Todd I, Albert J. *The Second Half. Spine.* 2014;34(26):2835-7
 11. Meyer B, Rauschmann M. *Spine Surgery A Case-Based Approach.* Springer. Switzerland; 2019. p. 2-20
 12. Skandalakis JE, Colborn GL, Weidman TA, Foster RS, Kingsnorth AN. *Skandalakis 'Surgical Anatomy. Michigan: The University of Michigan; 2004. ISBN 9603990744, 9789603990741.*
 13. Trelease RB. *Netter's Surgical Anatomy Review PRN Netter's Surgical Anatomy Review PRN 2017.*
 14. Harrow-Mortelliti M, Reddy V, Jimshelishvili G. *Physiology, Spinal Cord. National Center of Biotechnology Information. United States. 2022 January 29. p. 10-57*
 15. Denis F. The three column spine and its significance in the classification of acute thoracolumbar spinal injuries. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1983;8(8):817-31. [[PubMed](#)]
 16. Navarro S, Montmany S, Rebasa P, Colilles C, Palliser A. Impact of ATLS training on preventable and potentially preventable deaths. *World J Surg.* 2014;38(9):2273-8. [[PubMed](#)]
 17. Mohammad A, Branicki F, Abu-Zidan FM. Educational and clinical impact of Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) courses: a systematic review. *World J Surg.* 2014;38(2):322-9. [[PubMed](#)]
 18. Chapman JR, Agel J, Jurkovich GJ, Bellabarba C. Thoracolumbar flexion-distraction injuries: associated morbidity and neurological outcomes. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008;33(6):648-57. [[PubMed](#)]
 19. Wood KB, Li W, Lebl DR, Lebl DS, Ploumis A. Management of thoracolumbar spine fractures. *Spine J.* 2014;14(1):145-64. [[PubMed](#)]
 20. Harrop JS, Hunt GE, Vaccaro AR. Conus medullaris and cauda equina syndrome as a result of traumatic injuries: management principles. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2004;16(6):e4. [[PubMed](#)]
 21. Baranowski P. Application of the International Standards for the Neurological and Functional Classification of Spinal Cord Injuries (the ASIA scale). *Orthopedic Traumatol Rehab.* 2000;2(1): 31-4. [[PubMed](#)]
 22. Vaccaro AR, Oner C, Kepler CK, Dvorak M, Schnake K, Bellabarba C, et al. AOSpine thoracolumbar spine injury classification system: fracture description, neurological status, and key modifiers. *Spine.* 2013;38(23):2028-37. [Doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a8a381](https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a8a381) - [Pubmed](#)
 23. Verma, R., Deng, F. AO Spine classification of thoracolumbar injuries. Reference article, Radiopaedia.org. [cited 2022 May 20]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53347/rID-59124>
 24. Kepler CK, Vaccaro AR, Koerner JD, Dvorak MF, Kandziora F, Rajasekaran S, et al. Reliability analysis of the AOSpine thoracolumbar spine injury classification system by a worldwide group of naïve spinal surgeons. *Eur Spine J.* 2016;25:1082–6. [Doi:10.1007/s00586-015-3765-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-015-3765-9).
 25. Bonfante E, Tenreiro A, Choi J, Supsupin E, Riascos R. Thoracolumbar spine trauma: pearls and pitfalls of the newer classification systems. *Neurographs .* 2018;8(2):86-96. [Doi:10.3174/ng.1600043](https://doi.org/10.3174/ng.1600043)
 26. AO Spine Thoracolumbar Injury Classification System A3 Incomplete Burst A4 Complete Burst B3 Hyperextension B2 Posterior Tension Band Disruption. 2020 [cited 2021 Jul 16]. Available from: www.aospine.org/classification
 27. Saad WE, Khaja MS, Vedantham S. *Vascular and Interventional Imaging: Case Review.* Amsterdam:

- Elsevier; 2016. p. 10-92.
28. Moore WS, Lawrence PF, Barker W, Oderich GS. *Vascular and Endovascular Surgery A Comprehensive Review* (9th ed). Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2019. p. 21-80.
 29. Wilson SE. *Vascular Access: Principles and Practice*. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010. p. 317.
 30. Kandarpa K. *Peripheral Vascular Interventions*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. p. 577.
 31. Griessenauer CJ, Salem M; Hendrix P; Foreman PM, Ogilvy CS, Thomas AJ. Preoperative embolization of spinal tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg.* 2016;87:362-71. Doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2015.11.064
 32. Hong CG, Cho JH, Suh DC, Hwang CJ, Lee DH, Lee CS. Preoperative embolization in patients with metastatic spinal cord compression: mandatory or optional? *World J Surg Oncol.* 2017;15(1):45.
 33. Kato S, Murakami H, Minami T, et al. Preoperative embolization significantly decreases intraoperative blood loss during palliative surgery for spinal metastases. *Orthopedics.* 2012;35(9):e1389–e1395.
 34. PZN. *Embolization - and - materials - embolization*. Jakarta: University of Indonesia; 2016.
 35. Tuchman A, Mehta VA, MacK WJ, Acosta FL. Novel application of pre-operative vertebral body embolization to reduce intraoperative blood loss during a three-column spinal osteotomy for non - oncologic spinal deformity. *J Clin Neuroscience.* 2015;22(4):765-7.
 36. Nakae R, Nagaishi M, Hyodo A, Suzuki K. Embolization of a spinal dural arterio - venous fistula with ethylene-vinyl alcohol copolymer (Onyx) using a dual - lumen microballoon catheter and buddy wire techniques. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2017;8(1):166.
 37. Tomura N, Kobayashi N, Matsunaga S, Shuto T, Masuo O. Stenting combined with coil embolization of a dissecting aneurysm after traumatic vertebral artery injuries. *J Neuroendovascular Ther.* 2020; 14(2):56-61.
 38. Matsumoto S, Tagawa M, Inoue A, Takeba J, Watanabe H, Kunieda T. Interventional distal embolization before corrective cervical spinal surgery for posttraumatic vertebral artery occlusion: a case report and review of the literature. *J Neuroendovascular Ther.* 2021;15(11):719-24. Doi: 10.5797/jnet.cr.2020-0204.
 39. Fernandez-Torron R, Palma JA, Riverol M, Irimia P, Martinez-Vila E. Brown-Sequard syndrome after endovascular embolization of vertebral hemangioma. *Spinal Cord.* 2012;50(8):636-7.
 40. Houten JK, Swiggett SJ, Hadid B, Choueka DM, Kinon MD, Buciu R, et al. Neurologic complications of preoperative embolization of spinal metastases: a systemic review of the literature identifying distinct mechanisms of injury. *World Neurosurg.* 2020;143:374-88.
 41. Mikhail C, Pennington Z, Arnold PM, Brodke DS, Chapman JR, Chutkan N, et al. Minimizing blood loss in spine surgery. *Global Spine J.* 2020;10(1 Suppl):71S-83S. Doi: 10.1177/2192568219868475 journals.sagepub.com/home/gsj
 42. Swoboda SM, Merz C, Kostuik J, Trentler B, Lipsett PA. Does intraoperative blood loss affect antibiotic serum and tissue concentrations? *Arch Surg.* 1996;131(11):1165-71.
 43. Long K, Woodward J, Procter L, Ward M, Meier C, Williams D, et al: In vitro transfusion of red blood cells results in decreased cytokine production by human T cells. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2014; 77(2):198-201.
 44. Kato S, Chikuda H, Ohya J, Oichi T, Matsui H, Fushimi K, et al: Risk of infectious complications associated with blood transfusion in elective spinal surgery: A propensity score matched analysis. *Spine J.* 2016;16(1):55-60.
 45. Woods BI, Rosario BL, Chen A, Waters JH, Donaldson W, Kang J, et al: The association between perioperative allogeneic transfusion volume and postoperative infection in patients following lumbar spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2013;95(23):2105-10.
 46. Seicean A, Alan N, Seicean S, Neuhauser D, Weil RJ: The effect of blood transfusion on short-term, perioperative outcomes in elective spine surgery. *J Clin Neurosci* 2014;21(9):1579-1585.
 47. Bible JE, Mirza M, Knaub MA. Blood-loss management in spine surgery. *JAAOS.* 2018;26(2):35-44. Doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00184
 48. Kim DH. *Atlas of Spine Trauma: Adult and Pediatric*. Saunders; 2008. p. 687.
 49. Hariri OR, Kashyap S, Takayanagi A, Elia C, Ma Q, Miulli DE (2018). Posterior-only stabilization for traumatic thoracolumbar burst fractures. *Cureus.* 2018;10(3):e2296. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2296>.